

Package ‘TDCM’

February 5, 2024

Title The Transition Diagnostic Classification Model Framework

Version 0.1.0

Description Estimate the transition diagnostic classification model (TDCM) described in Madison & Bradshaw (2018) <[doi:10.1007/s11336-018-9638-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-018-9638-5)>, a longitudinal extension of the log-linear cognitive diagnosis model (LCDM) in Henson, Templin & Willse (2009) <[doi:10.1007/s11336-008-9089-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-008-9089-5)>. As the LCDM subsumes many other diagnostic classification models (DCMs), many other DCMs can be estimated longitudinally via the TDCM. The 'TDCM' package includes functions to estimate the single-group and multigroup TDCM, summarize results of interest including item parameters, growth proportions, transition probabilities, transitional reliability, attribute correlations, model fit, and growth plots.

License MIT + file LICENSE

URL <https://github.com/cotterell/tdcm>

BugReports <https://github.com/cotterell/tdcm/issues>

Encoding UTF-8

Language en-US

LazyData true

Depends R (>= 4.2.0)

Suggests devtools, knitr, lintr, MASS, rmarkdown, ROI, roxygen2, styler (>= 1.10.2), testthat (>= 3.0.0), tinytex, V8

Config/testthat/edition 3

Config/Needs/website tidyverse/tidytemplate

SystemRequirements pandoc

VignetteBuilder knitr

RoxygenNote 7.3.1

Imports CDM, gtools, polycor, stringr

NeedsCompilation no

Author Matthew J. Madison [aut, cph] (<<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2944-7442>>),
 Sergio Haab [aut],
 Minjeong Jeon [aut, cph],
 Michael E. Cotterell [aut, cre, cph]
 (<<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4302-0891>>),
 University of Georgia [cph] (The content and opinions expressed in this
 material do not necessarily reflect the views of nor are they
 endorsed by the University of Georgia or the University System of
 Georgia.),
 Institute of Education Sciences [fnd] (This work is supported by the
 U.S. Department of Education Institute of Education Sciences under
 IES Award Number R305D220020.),
 National Science Foundation [fnd] (This work is supported by the
 National Science Foundation under NSF Award Number 1921373.)

Maintainer Michael E. Cotterell <mepcott@uga.edu>

Repository CRAN

Date/Publication 2024-02-05 19:30:02 UTC

R topics documented:

data.tdc	2
item.influence	4
mg.tdc	5
mg.tdc.summary	7
oneplcdm	9
tdc	11
tdc.compare	14
tdc.plot	15
tdc.score	16
tdc.summary	17
Index	20

data.tdc	<i>Several data sets for the 'tdc' package.</i>
----------	---

Description

Several data sets for the 'tdc' package.

Usage

data.tdc01

data.tdc02

data.tdcm03

data.tdcm04

data.tdcm05

Format

data.tdcm01 is simulated sample data that has two time points, four attributes, twenty items, one group of size 1000, and a single Q-matrix. The format is a list of two:

- data: a data frame of binary item responses
- q.matrix: a data frame specifying the Q-matrix

data.tdcm02 is simulated data that has three time points, two attributes, ten items, one group of size 2500, and a single Q-matrix. The format is a list of two:

- data: a data frame of binary item responses
- q.matrix: a data frame specifying the Q-matrix

data.tdcm03 is simulated data that has three time points, two attributes, one group of size 1500, and three different ten-item Q-matrices for each time point. Anchor items are specified as items 1/1/21 and items 14/24. The format is a list of five:

- data: a data frame of binary item responses
- q.matrix.1: a data frame specifying the Q-matrix for the first time point
- q.matrix.2: a data frame specifying the Q-matrix for the second time point
- q.matrix.3: a data frame specifying the Q-matrix for the third time point
- q.matrix.stacked: data frame specifying the combined Q-matrix for all time points

data.tdcm04 is simulated data that has two time points, four attributes, twenty items, two group of size 800 and 900, respectively, and a single Q-matrix. The format is a list of three:

- data: a data frame of binary item responses
- q.matrix: a data frame specifying the Q-matrix
- groups: a vector specifying the examinee group memberships

data.tdcm05 is simulated data that has two has one time point, four attributes, and twenty items. For use with the 1-PLCDM. The format is a list of two:

- data: a data frame of binary item responses
- q.matrix: a data frame specifying the Q-matrix

Examples

```
## Example 1: T = 2, A = 4
data(data.tdcm01, package = "TDCM")
data <- data.tdcm01$data
q.matrix <- data.tdcm01$q.matrix
model <- TDCM::tdcm(data, q.matrix, num.time.points = 2)
```

 item.influence

Estimating item influence measures.

Description

Function to estimate estimate item influence measures. Code adapted from (Jurich & Madison, 2023). This function is not available for longitudinal DCMs.

Usage

```
item.influence(model, data, fullcorrelation = FALSE, progress = TRUE)
```

Arguments

model	a previously calibrated model; an object of class <code>gdina</code> .
data	a required $N \times I$ matrix. Binary item responses are in the columns.
fullcorrelation	optional logical argument indicating a full or reduced response-classification correlation matrix.
progress	An optional logical indicating whether the function should print the progress of estimation.

Details

For DCMs, item influence quantifies how much an item impacts classifications. Given an estimated DCM and item response data, this function estimates five item influence measures, including item pull, item override, proportion of attribute information, response-classification correlation (`corr1`), and response-posterior correlation (`corr2`).

Value

A list containing several item influence measures.

Note

Currently, this function currently only runs on DCMs estimated at a single time point. It will not run properly for TDCM objects.

References

Jurich, D. & Madison, M. J. (2023). Measuring item influence for diagnostic classification models. *Educational Assessment*.

Examples

```
## Item influence illustration
#load data (simulated based on Jurich and Bradshaw (2014))
qmatrix <- CDM::data.sda6$q.matrix
responses <- CDM::data.sda6$data

#Estimate the full LCDM
modell <- CDM::gdina(responses, qmatrix, linkfct = "logit", method = "ML")

#Estimate item influence measures
influence <- TDCM::item.influence(modell, responses)

#Summarize influence statistics
influence$Pull #item pull
influence$Override #item override
influence$Information #proportion of attribute information
influence$Correlation1 #correlation of responses and classifications
influence$Correlation2 #correlation of responses and posterior probabilities
```

mg.tdcm

Estimating the multigroup transition diagnostic classification model (TDCM)

Description

This function estimates the multigroup TDCM (Madison & Bradshaw, 2018).

Usage

```
mg.tdcm(
  data,
  q.matrix,
  num.time.points,
  rule = "GDINA",
  groups,
  group.invariance = TRUE,
  item.invariance = TRUE,
  progress = FALSE
)
```

Arguments

data a required $N \times T \times I$ matrix. For each time point, binary item responses are in the columns.

q.matrix	a required $I \times A$ matrix indicating which items measure which attributes.
num.time.points	the number of time points (i.e., measurement/testing occasions), integer ≥ 2 .
rule	the specific DCM to be employed. Currently accepts “GDINA”, “ACDM”, “DINA”, “GDINA1”, “GDINA2”, and so on. Default is “GDINA”, which is implemented with a logit link to estimate the LCDM. The “ACDM” rule will estimate the LCDM with only main effects. The “DINA” rule will estimate the DINA model. “GDINA1” will estimate the LCDM with only main effects, equivalent to “ACDM”. “GDINA2” will estimate the LCDM with up to two-way interaction effects. If rule is entered as a single string, that DCM will be assumed for each item. If entered as a vector, a DCM can be specified for each item.
groups	A required vector of group identifiers for multiple group estimation.
group.invariance	logical indicator for whether item parameter invariance should be assumed equal for all groups. Default = T. If specified as false, item parameters are not assumed equal for groups.
item.invariance	logical indicator for whether item parameter invariance should be constrained to be equal at each time point. Default = T. If specified as false, item parameters are not assumed equal over time.
progress	An optional logical indicating whether the function should print the progress of estimation.

Value

An object of class `gdina` with entries as indicated in the **CDM** package. For the TDCM-specific results (e.g., growth, transitions), results are summarized using the `mg.tdcm.summary` function.

Note

Currently, this function only accepts a single Q-matrix.

References

Madison, M. J., & Bradshaw, L. (2018). Evaluating intervention effects in a diagnostic classification model framework. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 55(1), 32-51.

Examples

```
## Example 4: G = 2, T = 2, A = 4
data(data.tdcm04, package = "TDCM")
data <- data.tdcm04$data
q.matrix <- data.tdcm04$q.matrix
groups <- data.tdcm04$groups

# Estimate full multigroup TDCM with invariance assumed.
mg.model <- TDCM::mg.tdcm(data, q.matrix, num.time.points = 2, groups = groups)
```

```
# summarize results
results <- TDCM::mg.tdcm.summary(mg.model, num.time.points = 2)

# plot results
TDCM::tdcm.plot(results)
```

mg.tdcm.summary *Multigroup TDCM results compiler and summarizer.*

Description

A function to compile results from calibration of the multigroup TDCM (Madison & Bradshaw, 2018).

Usage

```
mg.tdcm.summary(
  model,
  num.time.points,
  transition.option = 1,
  classthreshold = 0.5,
  attribute.names = c(),
  group.names = c()
)
```

Arguments

model a `gdina` object returned from the `mg.tdcm` function.

num.time.points the number of time points (i.e., measurement/testing occasions), integer ≥ 2 .

transition.option option for reporting results. = 1 compares the first time point to the last. = 2 compares the first time point to every other time point. = 3 compares successive time points. Default = 1.

classthreshold probability threshold for establishing proficiency from examinee posterior probabilities. Default is .50, which maximizes overall classification accuracy. It can be set to a lower value to minimize false negatives (i.e., misclassifying proficient examinees as non-proficient) or set to a higher value to minimize false positives (i.e., misclassifying non-proficient examinees as proficient).

attribute.names optional vector of attribute names to include in plots.

group.names optional vector of group names to include in plots.

Details

Provides a summary of multigroup TDCM results including item parameters, attribute posterior probabilities, transition posterior probabilities, classifications, group-wise growth, group-wise transition probabilities, attribute correlations, several transition reliability metrics, and model fit. Includes longitudinal versions of reliability metrics developed by Templin and Bradshaw (2013) and Johnson and Sinharay (2020).

Value

A list with the following items:

- `$item.parameters`: LCDM item parameter estimates from the specified DCM.
- `$growth`: proficiency proportions for each time point and each attribute
- `$transition.probabilities`: conditional attribute proficiency transition probability matrices
- `$posterior.probabilities`: examinee marginal attribute posterior probabilities of proficiency
- `$transition.posterior`: examinee marginal attribute transition posterior probabilities
- `$most.likely.transitions`: examinee most likely transitions for each attribute and transition
- `$classifications`: examinee classifications determined by the specified threshold applied to the posterior probabilities
- `$reliability`: estimated transition reliability metrics for each attribute for the specified transitions. “pt bis” = longitudinal point biserial metric; “info gain” = longitudinal information gain metric; “polychor” = longitudinal tetrachoric metric; “ave max tr” = average maximum transition posterior metric; “P(t>k)” = proportion of examinee marginal attribute transition posteriors greater than k; “wt pt bis” = weighted longitudinal point biserial; “wt info gain” = weighted longitudinal information gain.
- `$att.corr`: estimated attribute correlation matrix
- `$model.fit`: Several model fit indices and tests are output including item root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA; von Davier, 2005), mean RMSEA, bivariate item fit statistics (Chen et al., 2013), and absolute fit statistics such as mean absolute deviation for observed and expected item correlations (MADcor; DiBello, Roussos, & Stout, 2007), and standardized root mean square root of squared residuals (SRMSR; Maydeu-Olivares, 2013)

References

- Chen, J., de la Torre, J., & Zhang, Z. (2013). Relative and absolute fit evaluation in cognitive diagnosis modeling. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 50, 123-140.
- DiBello, L. V., Roussos, L. A., & Stout, W. F. (2007). *Review of cognitively diagnostic assessment and a summary of psychometric models*. In C. R. Rao and S. Sinharay (Eds.), *Handbook of Statistics*, Vol. 26 (pp.979–1030). Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Johnson, M. S., & Sinharay, S. (2020). The reliability of the posterior probability of skill attainment in diagnostic classification models. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 47(1), 5 – 31.

Madison, M. J. (2019). Reliably assessing growth with longitudinal diagnostic classification models. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 38(2), 68-78.

Madison, M. J., & Bradshaw, L. (2018). Evaluating intervention effects in a diagnostic classification model framework. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 55(1), 32-51.

Maydeu-Olivares, A. (2013). Goodness-of-fit assessment of item response theory models (with discussion). *Measurement: Interdisciplinary Research and Perspectives*, 11, 71-137.

Schellman, M., & Madison, M. J. (2021, July). *Estimating the reliability of skill transition in longitudinal DCMs*. Paper presented at the 2021 International Meeting of the Psychometric Society.

Templin, J., & Bradshaw, L. (2013). Measuring the reliability of diagnostic classification model examinee estimates. *Journal of Classification*, 30, 251-275.

von Davier M. (2008). A general diagnostic model applied to language testing data. *The British journal of mathematical and statistical psychology*, 61(2), 287-307.

Examples

```
## Example 4: G = 2, T = 2, A = 4
data(data.tdcm04, package = "TDCM")
dat4 <- data.tdcm04$data
qmat4 <- data.tdcm04$q.matrix
group4 <- data.tdcm04$groups

# estimate mgTDCM with invariance assumed and full LCDM
mg1 <- TDCM::mg.tdcm(dat4, qmat4,
  num.time.points = 2, rule = "GDINA",
  group = group4, group.invariance = TRUE, item.invariance = TRUE)

# summarize results
results1 <- TDCM::mg.tdcm.summary(mg1, num.time.points = 2)

# plot results
TDCM::tdcm.plot(results1)

# estimate mgTDCM without group invariance
mg2 <- TDCM::mg.tdcm(dat4, qmat4,
  num.time.points = 2, rule = "GDINA",
  group = group4, group.invariance = FALSE, item.invariance = TRUE)

# compare models to assess group invariance
TDCM::tdcm.compare(mg1, mg2)
```

Description

Function to estimate the 1-PLCDM (Madison et al., 2023; Maas et al., 2023).

Usage

```
oneplcdm(data, q.matrix, progress = TRUE)
```

Arguments

data	a required $N \times I$ matrix. Binary item responses are in the columns.
q.matrix	a required $I \times A$ matrix indicating which items measure which attributes.
progress	An optional logical indicating whether the function should print the progress of estimation.

Details

Estimates the single-attribute and multi-attribute 1-PLCDM described in Madison et al. (2023). Example shows that attribute subscores are sufficient statistics for classifications.

Value

An object of class `gdina` with entries as indicated in the CDM package.

Note

Currently, this model cannot be embedded within the TDCM via the `rule` argument.

References

- George, A. C., Robitzsch, A., Kiefer, T., Gross, J., & Ünlü, A. (2016). The R package CDM for cognitive diagnosis models. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *74*(2), 1-24.
- Henson, R., Templin, J., & Willse, J. (2009). Defining a family of cognitive diagnosis models using log linear models with latent variables. *Psychometrika*, *74*, 191-21.
- Madison, M.J., Chung, S., Kim, J., & Bradshaw, L. (2023). Approaches to estimating longitudinal diagnostic classification models. *Behaviormetrika*.
- Madison, M.J., Wind, S., Maas, L., Yamaguchi, K. & Haab, S. (2023). A one-parameter diagnostic classification model with familiar measurement properties. *Arxiv*.
- Maas, L., Madison, M. J., & Brinkhuis, M. J. (2024). Properties and performance of the one-parameter log-linear cognitive diagnosis model. *Frontiers*.

Examples

```
## Example 1: A = 4
data(data.tdcm05)
dat5 <- data.tdcm05$data
qmat5 <- data.tdcm05$q.matrix
```

```

# calibrate LCDM
m1 <- CDM::gdina(dat5, qmat5, linkfct = "logit", method = "ML")

# calibrate 1-PLCDM
m2 <- TDCM::oneplcdm(dat5, qmat5)
summary(m2)
#demonstrate 1-PLCDM sum score sufficiency for each attribute
subscores <- cbind(rowSums(dat5[, 1:5]), rowSums(dat5[, 6:10]),
rowSums(dat5[, 11:15]), rowSums(dat5[, 16:20]))
colnames(subscores) <- c("Att1", "Att2", "Att3", "Att4")
proficiency <- cbind(m2$pattern[, 6] > .50, m2$pattern[, 7] > .50,
m2$pattern[, 8] > .50, m2$pattern[, 9] > .5) * 1
table(subscores[, 1], proficiency[, 1])
table(subscores[, 2], proficiency[, 2])
table(subscores[, 3], proficiency[, 3])
table(subscores[, 4], proficiency[, 4])

#plot sum score sufficiency for each attribute
posterior1pl <- m2$pattern[, 6:9]
posteriorlcdm <- m1$pattern[, 6:9]
oldpar <- par(mfrow = c(2, 2))
for (i in 1:4) {
  plot(subscores[, i], posteriorlcdm[, i], pch = 19, las = 1, cex.lab = 1.5,
xlab = "Sum Scores", ylab = "P(proficiency)",
cex.main = 1.5, col = "grey", xaxt = "n", yaxt = "n", cex = 1.2,
main = paste("Attribute ", i, sep = ""))
graphics::axis(side = 1, at = c(0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5), )
graphics::axis(side = 2, at = c(0, .1, .2, .3, .4, .5, .6, .7, .8, .9, 1.0), las = 1)
graphics::points(subscores[, i], posterior1pl[, i], col = "black", pch = 18, cex = 1.5)
graphics::abline(a = .50, b = 0, col = "red")
graphics::legend("bottomright", c("1-PLCDM", "LCDM"), col = c("black", "grey"),
pch = c(18, 19), box.lwd = 0, box.col = "white", bty = 'n')
}
par(oldpar)

```

Description

`tdcm()` is used to estimate the transition diagnostic classification model (TDCM; Madison & Bradshaw, 2018a), which is a longitudinal extension of the log-linear cognitive diagnosis model (LCDM; Henson, Templin, & Willse, 2009). It allows for the specification of many specific DCMs via the `rule` option. For the multigroup TDCM, see `mg.tdcm()`.

Usage

```
tdcm(
```

```

data,
q.matrix,
num.time.points,
invariance = TRUE,
rule = "GDINA",
num.q.matrix = 1,
num.items = c(),
anchor = c(),
progress = FALSE
)

```

Arguments

<code>data</code>	A required $N \times T \times I$ data matrix containing binary item responses. For each time point, the binary item responses are in the columns.
<code>q.matrix</code>	A required $I \times A$ matrix indicating which items measure which attributes. If there are multiple Q-matrices, then they must have the same number of attributes and must be stacked on top of each other for estimation (to specify multiple Q-matrices, see <code>num.q.matrix</code> , <code>num.items</code> , and <code>anchor</code>).
<code>num.time.points</code>	A required integer ≥ 2 specifying the number of time points (i.e., measurement / testing occasions).
<code>invariance</code>	logical. If TRUE (the default), the item parameter invariance will be constrained to be equal at each time point. If FALSE, item parameters are not assumed to be equal over time.
<code>rule</code>	A string or a vector of the specific DCM to be employed. Currently accepts the same values as <code>rule</code> in <code>CDM::gdina()</code> . The default is "GDINA", which is implemented with a logit link to estimate the LCDM. If <code>rule</code> is supplied as a single string, then that DCM will be assumed for each item. If entered as a vector, a DCM can be specified for each item.
<code>num.q.matrix</code>	An optional integer specifying the number of Q-matrices. For many applications, the same assessment is administered at each time point and this number is 1 (the default). If there are different Q-matrices for each time point, then this argument must be specified and should be equal to the number of time points. For example, if there are three time points, and the Q-matrix for each time point is different, then <code>num.q.matrix = 3</code> . If there are three time points, and the Q-matrix is different only for time point 3, then <code>num.q.matrix</code> is still specified as 3.
<code>num.items</code>	An optional integer specifying the number of Q-matrices (the default is 1). when there are multiple Q-matrices, the number of items in each Q-matrix is specified as a vector of length T. For example, if there are three time points, and the Q-matrices for each time point have 8, 10, and 12 items, respectively, then <code>num.items = c(8, 10, 12)</code> . Default is an empty vector to indicate there is only one Q-matrix.
<code>anchor</code>	When there are different tests at each time point, this optional anchor argument is a vector of pairs of item numbers indicating which items are the same across

time points and should be held invariant. For example, if there are three Q-matrices with 10 items each, and Items 1, 11, and 21 are the same, and Items 14 and 24 are the same, then `anchor = c(1, 11, 1, 21, 14, 24)`. Default is an empty vector to indicate there is only one Q-matrix.

`progress` logical. If FALSE An optional logical indicating whether the function should print the progress of estimation.

Details

Estimation of the TDCM via the **CDM** package (George, et al., 2016), which is based on an EM algorithm as described in de la Torre (2011). The estimation approach is further detailed in Madison et al. (2023).

Value

An object of class `gdina` with entries as described in `CDM::gdina()`. To see a TDCM-specific summary of the object (e.g., growth, transitions), use `tdcm.summary()`.

References

- de la Torre, J. (2011). The generalized DINA model framework. *Psychometrika*, 76, 179-199.
- George, A. C., Robitzsch, A., Kiefer, T., Gross, J., & Ünlü, A. (2016). The R package CDM for cognitive diagnosis models. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 74(2), 1-24.
- Henson, R., Templin, J., & Willse, J. (2009). Defining a family of cognitive diagnosis models using log linear models with latent variables. *Psychometrika*, 74, 191-21.
- Madison, M. J., & Bradshaw, L. (2018a). Assessing growth in a diagnostic classification model framework. *Psychometrika*, 82(4), 963-990.
- Madison, M. J., & Bradshaw, L. (2018b). Evaluating intervention effects in a diagnostic classification model framework. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 55(1), 32-51.
- Madison, M.J., Chung, S., Kim, J., & Bradshaw, L. (2024). Approaches to estimating longitudinal diagnostic classification models. *Behaviormetrika*, 51, 7-19. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41237-023-00202-5>
- Rupp, A. A., Templin, J., & Henson, R. (2010). *Diagnostic Measurement: Theory, Methods, and Applications*. New York: Guilford.

Examples

```
## Example 1: T = 2, A = 4
data(data.tdcm01, package = "TDCM")
data <- data.tdcm01$data
q.matrix <- data.tdcm01$q.matrix

# Estimate full TDCM with invariance assumed.
model1 <- TDCM::tdcm(data, q.matrix, num.time.points = 2)

# Summarize results with tdc.summary().
results <- TDCM::tdcm.summary(model1, num.time.points = 2)
```

```

results$item.parameters
results$growth
results$transition.proBABILITIES

```

tdcm.compare

Comparing the fit of two TDCMs

Description

Provides a comparison of two TDCMs. Can be used to compare different measurement models or assess measurement invariance over time or over groups in the multigroup TDCM case. Only accepts two models.

Usage

```
tdcm.compare(model1, model2)
```

Arguments

model1 a `gdina` object returned from the `tdcm` or `mg.tdcm` function.
model2 a second `gdina` object returned from the `tdcm` or `mg.tdcm` function

Value

This function returns a data frame with model fit statistics (AIC/BIC) and results from a likelihood ratio or deviance test.

Note

- Currently, this function currently accepts two models for comparison.
- Both models must be fit to the same item responses and Q-matrix.
- The function will provide results for two non-nested models. Please ensure that models are nested before interpreting the likelihood ratio test for nested models.
- The likelihood ratio test is not valid for some model comparisons (e.g., LCDM vs DINA) because of model constraints.

Examples

```

## Example 1: T = 2, A = 4
data(data.tdcm01, package = "TDCM")
dat1 <- data.tdcm01$data
qmat1 <- data.tdcm01$q.matrix

# estimate TDCM with invariance assumed and full LCDM
m1 <- TDCM::tdcm(dat1, qmat1, num.time.points = 2, invariance = TRUE, rule = "GDINA")

```

```
# estimate TDCM with invariance not assumed
m2 <- TDCM::tdcm(dat1, qmat1, num.time.points = 2, invariance = FALSE, rule = "GDINA")

# compare models to assess measurement invariance.
TDCM::tdcm.compare(m1, m2)
```

tdcm.plot

Plotting TDCM Results

Description

tdcm.plot() visualizes the results from TDCM analyses.

Usage

```
tdcm.plot(results, attribute.names = c(), group.names = c())
```

Arguments

results results from `tdcm.summary` or `mg.tdcm.summary`
attribute.names an optional vector of attribute names to include in plots.
group.names an optional vector of group names to include in plots.

Value

No return value, called for side effects.

Examples

```
## Example 1: T = 2, A = 4
data(data.tdcm01, package = "TDCM")
dat1 = data.tdcm01$data
qmat1 = data.tdcm01$q.matrix

#estimate TDCM with invariance assumed and full LCDM
m1 = TDCM::tdcm(dat1, qmat1, num.time.points = 2, invariance = TRUE, rule = "GDINA")

#summarize results with tdcm.summary function
results1 = TDCM::tdcm.summary(m1, num.time.points = 2)

#plot results
TDCM::tdcm.plot(results1, attribute.names = c("Addition", "Subtraction",
"Multiplication", "Division"))
```

tdcm.score	<i>DCM scoring function.</i>
------------	------------------------------

Description

Function to score responses with fixed item parameters from a previously calibrated LCDM.

Usage

```
tdcm.score(
  calibration.model,
  newdata,
  q.matrix,
  attr.prob.fixed = NULL,
  progress = TRUE
)
```

Arguments

calibration.model	the previously calibrated model; an object of class <code>gdina</code> .
newdata	a required $N \times I$ matrix. Binary item responses are in the columns.
q.matrix	a required $I \times A$ matrix indicating which items measure which attributes.
attr.prob.fixed	optional argument for attribute profile proportions. Default is uniform distribution of profiles.
progress	An optional logical indicating whether the function should print the progress of estimation.

Details

Obtain classifications for new responses to items that were previously calibrated. The calibrate-and-score approach is further detailed in Madison et al. (2023).

Value

An object of class `gdina` with entries as indicated in the CDM package.

References

- George, A. C., Robitzsch, A., Kiefer, T., Gross, J., & Ünlü, A. (2016). The R package CDM for cognitive diagnosis models. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *74*(2), 1-24.
- Henson, R., Templin, J., & Willse, J. (2009). Defining a family of cognitive diagnosis models using log linear models with latent variables. *Psychometrika*, *74*, 191-21.
- Madison, M.J., Chung, S., Kim, J., & Bradshaw, L. (2023). Approaches to estimating longitudinal diagnostic classification models. *Behaviormetrika*.

Examples

```
## Example 1: T = 2, A = 4
data(data.tdcm01, package = "TDCM")
dat1 <- data.tdcm01$data
qmat1 <- data.tdcm01$q.matrix
pre <- dat1[, 1:20]
post <- dat1[, 21:40]

# calibrate LCDM with post-test data
m1 <- CDM::gdina(data = post, q.matrix = qmat1, linkfct = "logit", method = "ML")

# score pre-test responses
m2 <- TDCM::tdcm.score(m1, newdata = pre, q.matrix = qmat1)
summary(m2)
m2$pattern
```

tdcm.summary

*TDCM results compiler and summarizer.***Description**

Function to summarize results from TDCM analyses.

Usage

```
tdcm.summary(
  model,
  num.time.points,
  transition.option = 1,
  classthreshold = 0.5,
  attribute.names = c()
)
```

Arguments

model a `gdina` object returned from the `tdcm` function.

num.time.points the number of time points (i.e., measurement/testing occasions), integer ≥ 2 .

transition.option option for reporting results. = 1 compares the first time point to the last. = 2 compares the first time point to every other time point. = 3 compares successive time points. Default = 1.

classthreshold probability threshold for establishing proficiency from examinee posterior probabilities. Default is .50, which maximizes overall classification accuracy. It can be set to a lower value to minimize false negatives (i.e., misclassifying proficient examinees as non-proficient) or set to a higher value to minimize false positives (i.e., misclassifying non-proficient examinees as proficient).

`attribute.names`

optional vector of attribute names to include in results output.

Details

Provides a summary of TDCM results including item parameters, attribute posterior probabilities, transition posterior probabilities, classifications, growth, transition probabilities, attribute correlations, several transition reliability metrics, and model fit. Includes longitudinal DCM reliability metrics developed by Schellman and Madison (2021).

Value

A list with the following items:

- `$item.parameters`: LCDM item parameter estimates from the specified DCM.
- `$growth`: proficiency proportions for each time point and each attribute
- `$transition.probabilities`: conditional attribute proficiency transition probability matrices
- `$posterior.probabilities`: examinee marginal attribute posterior probabilities of proficiency
- `$transition.posterior`: examinee marginal attribute transition posterior probabilities
- `$most.likely.transitions`: examinee most likely transitions for each attribute and transition
- `$classifications`: examinee classifications determined by the specified threshold applied to the posterior probabilities
- `$reliability`: estimated transition reliability metrics for each attribute for the specified transitions. “pt bis” = longitudinal point biserial metric; “info gain” = longitudinal information gain metric; “polychor” = longitudinal tetrachoric metric; “ave max tr” = average maximum transition posterior metric; “P(t>k)” = proportion of examinee marginal attribute transition posteriors greater than k; “wt pt bis” = weighted longitudinal point biserial; “wt info gain” = weighted longitudinal information gain.
- `$att.corr`: estimated attribute correlation matrix
- `$model.fit`: Several model fit indices and tests are output including item root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA; von Davier, 2005), mean RMSEA, bivariate item fit statistics (Chen et al., 2013), and absolute fit statistics such as mean absolute deviation for observed and expected item correlations (MADcor; DiBello, Roussos, & Stout, 2007), and standardized root mean square root of squared residuals (SRMSR; Maydeu-Olivares, 2013)

References

- Chen, J., de la Torre, J., & Zhang, Z. (2013). Relative and absolute fit evaluation in cognitive diagnosis modeling. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 50, 123-140.
- DiBello, L. V., Roussos, L. A., & Stout, W. F. (2007). *Review of cognitively diagnostic assessment and a summary of psychometric models*. In C. R. Rao and S. Sinharay (Eds.), *Handbook of Statistics*, Vol. 26 (pp.979–1030). Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Johnson, M. S., & Sinharay, S. (2020). The reliability of the posterior probability of skill attainment in diagnostic classification models. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 47(1), 5 – 31.

Madison, M. J. (2019). Reliably assessing growth with longitudinal diagnostic classification models. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 38(2), 68-78.

Maydeu-Olivares, A. (2013). Goodness-of-fit assessment of item response theory models (with discussion). *Measurement: Interdisciplinary Research and Perspectives*, 11, 71-137.

Schellman, M., & Madison, M. J. (2021, July). *Estimating the reliability of skill transition in longitudinal DCMs*. Paper presented at the 2021 International Meeting of the Psychometric Society.

Templin, J., & Bradshaw, L. (2013). Measuring the reliability of diagnostic classification model examinee estimates. *Journal of Classification*, 30, 251-275.

von Davier M. (2008). A general diagnostic model applied to language testing data. *The British journal of mathematical and statistical psychology*, 61(2), 287-307.

Examples

```
## Example 1: T = 2, A = 4
data(data.tdcm01, package = "TDCM")
dat1 <- data.tdcm01$data
qmat1 <- data.tdcm01$q.matrix

# estimate TDCM with invariance assumed and full LCDM
m1 <- TDCM::tdcm(dat1, qmat1, num.time.points = 2, invariance = TRUE, rule = "GDINA")

# summarize results with tdc.summary function
results1 <- TDCM::tdcm.summary(m1, num.time.points = 2)
results1$item.parameters
results1$growth
results1$transition.probabilities
results1$reliability
head(results1$most.likely.transitions)
results1$model.fit$Item.RMSEA
```

Index

* data

data.tdcM, 2

CDM::gdina(), 12, 13

data.tdcM, 2

data.tdcM01 (data.tdcM), 2

data.tdcM02 (data.tdcM), 2

data.tdcM03 (data.tdcM), 2

data.tdcM04 (data.tdcM), 2

data.tdcM05 (data.tdcM), 2

item.influence, 4

mg.tdcM, 5, 7, 14

mg.tdcM(), 11

mg.tdcM.summary, 6, 7, 15

oneplcdm, 9

tdcM, 11, 14, 17

tdcM.compare, 14

tdcM.plot, 15

tdcM.score, 16

tdcM.summary, 15, 17

tdcM.summary(), 13