

---

Stream: Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)  
RFC: [8858](#)  
Updates: [5761](#)  
Category: Standards Track  
Published: January 2021  
ISSN: 2070-1721  
Author: C. Holmberg  
*Ericsson*

# RFC 8858

## Indicating Exclusive Support of RTP and RTP Control Protocol (RTCP) Multiplexing Using the Session Description Protocol (SDP)

---

### Abstract

This document defines a new Session Description Protocol (SDP) media-level attribute, 'rtcp-mux-only', that can be used by an endpoint to indicate exclusive support of RTP and RTP Control Protocol (RTCP) multiplexing. The document also updates RFC 5761 by clarifying that an offerer can use a mechanism to indicate that it is not able to send and receive RTCP on separate ports.

### Status of This Memo

This is an Internet Standards Track document.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Further information on Internet Standards is available in Section 2 of RFC 7841.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8858>.

### Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2021 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions

with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

1. Introduction
2. Conventions
3. SDP 'rtcp-mux-only' Attribute
4. SDP Offer/Answer Procedures
  - 4.1. General
  - 4.2. Generating the Initial SDP Offer
  - 4.3. Generating the Answer
  - 4.4. Offerer Processing of the SDP Answer
  - 4.5. Modifying the Session
5. Update to RFC 5761
  - 5.1. General
  - 5.2. Update to 4th Paragraph of Section 5.1.1
  - 5.3. Update to 2nd Paragraph of Section 5.1.3
6. ICE Considerations
7. Security Considerations
8. IANA Considerations
9. References
  - 9.1. Normative References
  - 9.2. Informative References

Acknowledgements

Author's Address

## 1. Introduction

[RFC5761] defines how to multiplex RTP and RTCP on a single IP address and port, referred to as RTP/RTCP multiplexing. [RFC5761] also defines an SDP [RFC4566] attribute, 'rtcp-mux', that can be used by entities to indicate support of RTP/RTCP multiplexing and negotiate usage of it.

As defined in [RFC5761], if the peer endpoint does not support RTP/RTCP multiplexing, both endpoints should use separate ports for sending and receiving of RTCP (referred to as fallback to usage of separate ports for RTP and RTCP).

Some newer applications that do not require backward compatibility with peers that cannot multiplex RTCP might choose not to implement separation of RTP and RTCP. Examples of such applications are W3C WebRTC applications [WebRTC], which are not required to interoperate with non-WebRTC clients. For such applications, this document defines an SDP attribute to signal intent to require multiplexing. The use of this attribute in SDP offers [RFC3264] may harm the interoperability of entities that ever need to interoperate with peers that do not support RTP/RTCP multiplexing. Also, while the SDP answerer [RFC3264] might support, and prefer usage of, fallback to nonmultiplex, the attribute indicates that fallback to nonmultiplex cannot be enabled. One example of where nonmultiplex is preferred is where an endpoint is connected to a radio interface and wants to use different bearers (possibly with different quality characteristics) for RTP and RTCP. Another example is where one endpoint is acting as a gateway to a network where RTP/RTCP multiplexing cannot be used. In such a case, the endpoint may also prefer nonmultiplexing towards the other network. Otherwise, the endpoint would have to perform demultiplexing of RTP and RTCP.

This document defines a new SDP media-level attribute, 'rtcp-mux-only', that can be used by an endpoint to indicate exclusive support of RTP/RTCP multiplexing. The document also updates [RFC5761] by clarifying that an offerer can use a mechanism to indicate that it is not able to send and receive RTCP on separate ports.

This document also describes the Interactive Connectivity Establishment (ICE) [RFC8445] considerations when indicating exclusive support of RTP/RTCP multiplexing.

## 2. Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

## 3. SDP 'rtcp-mux-only' Attribute

This section defines a new SDP media-level attribute, 'rtcp-mux-only'.

Name: rtcp-mux-only

Value: N/A

Usage Level: media

Charset Dependent: no

Syntax: rtcp-mux-only

Example: a=rtcp-mux-only

In an SDP offer, the offerer uses the SDP 'rtcp-mux-only' attribute to indicate exclusive support of RTP/RTCP multiplexing for the RTP-based media associated with the SDP media description ("m=" line).

In an SDP answer, the 'rtcp-mux' attribute [RFC5761] indicates that the answerer supports, and accepts usage of, RTP/RTCP multiplexing for the RTP-based media associated with the SDP media description ("m=" line).

The usage of the 'rtcp-mux-only' attribute in an SDP answer is forbidden.

The usage of the SDP 'rtcp-mux-only' attribute is only defined for RTP-based media.

The mux category [RFC8859] for the 'rtcp-mux-only' attribute is "IDENTICAL", which means that the attribute, if used within a BUNDLE group [RFC8843], must be associated with all multiplexed RTP-based media descriptions within the BUNDLE group.

The 'rtcp-mux-only' attribute applies to the whole associated media description. The attribute **MUST NOT** be defined per source (using the SDP 'ssrc' attribute [RFC5576]).

The SDP offer/answer procedures [RFC3264] associated with the attribute are defined in [Section 4](#).

## 4. SDP Offer/Answer Procedures

### 4.1. General

This section defines the SDP offer/answer procedures [RFC3264] for indicating exclusive support of RTP/RTCP multiplexing and negotiating usage of it.

The procedures in this section apply to individual RTP-based SDP media descriptions ("m=" lines).

### 4.2. Generating the Initial SDP Offer

When sending the initial offer, if the offerer wants to indicate exclusive RTP/RTCP multiplexing for RTP-based media, it **MUST** associate an SDP 'rtcp-mux-only' attribute with the associated SDP media description ("m=" line).

In addition, if the offerer associates an SDP 'rtcp-mux-only' attribute with an SDP media description ("m=" line), the offerer **MUST** also associate an SDP 'rtcp-mux' attribute with the same SDP media description ("m=" line), following the procedures in [RFC5761].

If the offerer associates an SDP 'rtcp' attribute [RFC3605] with an SDP media description ("m=" line), and if the offerer also associates an SDP 'rtcp-mux-only' attribute with the same SDP media description ("m=" line), the address and port values of the SDP 'rtcp' attribute **MUST** match the corresponding values for RTP.

NOTE: This specification does not mandate the usage of the SDP 'rtcp' attribute for RTP/RTCP multiplexing.

### 4.3. Generating the Answer

When an answerer receives an offer that contains an SDP 'rtcp-mux-only' attribute associated with an RTP-based SDP media description ("m=" line), then if the answerer accepts the usage of RTP/RTCP multiplexing, it **MUST** associate an SDP 'rtcp-mux' attribute with the corresponding SDP media description ("m=") in the associated answer, following the procedures in [RFC5761]. If the answerer does not accept the usage of RTP/RTCP multiplexing, it **MUST** either reject the SDP media description ("m=") by setting the port value to zero in the associated answer, or reject the whole offer, following the procedures in [RFC3264].

The answerer **MUST NOT** associate an SDP 'rtcp-mux-only' attribute with an SDP media description ("m=" line) in the answer.

### 4.4. Offerer Processing of the SDP Answer

If an offerer associated an SDP 'rtcp-mux-only' attribute with an RTP-based SDP media description ("m=" line) in an offer, and if the corresponding SDP media description ("m=" line) in the associated answer contains an SDP 'rtcp-mux' attribute, the offerer **MUST** apply the RTP/RTCP multiplexing procedures [RFC5761] to the associated RTP-based media. If the corresponding SDP media description ("m=" line) in the associated answer does not contain an SDP 'rtcp-mux' attribute, the offerer **MUST** either take appropriate actions in order to disable the associated RTP-based media -- e.g., send a new offer with a zero port value associated with the SDP media description ("m=" line) -- or send a new offer without associating an SDP 'rtcp-mux-only' attribute with the SDP media description ("m=" line).

NOTE: This document does not mandate specific actions on how to terminate the RTP media. The offerer might, for example, terminate the RTP media by sending a new offer in which the port value of the SDP media description is set to zero.

### 4.5. Modifying the Session

When an offerer sends a subsequent offer, if the offerer and answerer have previously negotiated usage of exclusive RTP/RTCP multiplexing for the media associated with an RTP-based SDP media description ("m=" line), the offerer **SHOULD** associate an SDP 'rtcp-mux-only' with the corresponding SDP media description ("m=" line).

In addition, if the offerer associates an SDP 'rtcp-mux-only' attribute with an SDP media description ("m=" line), the offerer **MUST** also associate an SDP 'rtcp-mux' attribute with the same SDP media description ("m=" line), following the procedures in [RFC5761].

If the offerer does not associate the attributes with the corresponding SDP media description ("m=" line), it is an indication that the offerer no longer wants to use RTP/RTCP multiplexing and instead **MUST** fall back to usage of separate ports for RTP and RTCP once the offer has been accepted by the answerer.

When an offerer sends a subsequent offer, if the offerer and answerer have not previously negotiated usage of RTP/RTCP multiplexing for the media associated with an RTP-based SDP media description ("m=" line), the offerer **MAY** indicate exclusive support of RTP/RTCP multiplexing, following the procedures in [Section 4.2](#). The offerer **MUST** process the associated answer following the procedures in [Section 4.4](#).

It is **RECOMMENDED** to not switch between usage of RTP/RTCP multiplexing and usage of separate ports for RTP and RTCP in a subsequent offer, unless there is a use case that mandates it.

## 5. Update to RFC 5761

### 5.1. General

This section updates [Sections 5.1.1](#) and [5.1.3](#) of [\[RFC5761\]](#) by clarifying that an offerer can use a mechanism to indicate that it is not able to send and receive RTCP on separate ports, and that the offerer shall terminate the affected streams if the answerer does not indicate support of RTP/RTCP multiplexing. It also clarifies that, when the offerer is not able to send and receive RTCP on separate ports, the offerer will not provide an SDP 'candidate' attribute for RTCP, nor will the offerer provide a fallback port for RTCP (using the SDP 'rtcp' attribute).

### 5.2. Update to 4th Paragraph of Section 5.1.1

NOTE: [\[RFC8035\]](#) also updates [Section 5.1.1](#) of [\[RFC5761\]](#). While the paragraph updated in this document is not updated by [\[RFC8035\]](#), the location of the paragraph within [Section 5.1.1](#) is moved.

OLD TEXT:

If the answer does not contain an "a=rtcp-mux" attribute, the offerer **MUST NOT** multiplex RTP and RTCP packets on a single port. Instead, it should send and receive RTCP on a port allocated according to the usual port-selection rules (either the port pair, or a signalled port if the "a=rtcp:" attribute [10] is also included). This will occur when talking to a peer that does not understand the "a=rtcp-mux" attribute.

NEW TEXT:

If the answer does not contain an "a=rtcp-mux" attribute, the offerer **MUST NOT** multiplex RTP and RTCP packets on a single port. Instead, it should send and receive RTCP on a port allocated according to the usual port-selection rules (either the port pair,

or a signaled port if the "a=rtcp:" attribute [10] is also included). This will occur when talking to a peer that does not understand the "a=rtcp-mux" attribute. However, if the offerer indicated in the offer that it is not able to send and receive RTCP on a separate port, the offerer **MUST** disable the media streams associated with the attribute. The mechanism for indicating that the offerer is not able to send and receive RTCP on a separate port is outside the scope of this specification.

### 5.3. Update to 2nd Paragraph of Section 5.1.3

OLD TEXT:

If it is desired to use both ICE and multiplexed RTP and RTCP, the initial offer **MUST** contain an "a=rtcp-mux" attribute to indicate that RTP and RTCP multiplexing is desired and **MUST** contain "a=candidate:" lines for both RTP and RTCP along with an "a=rtcp:" line indicating a fallback port for RTCP in the case that the answerer does not support RTP and RTCP multiplexing. This **MUST** be done for each media where RTP and RTCP multiplexing is desired.

NEW TEXT:

If it is desired to use both ICE and multiplexed RTP and RTCP, the initial offer **MUST** contain an "a=rtcp-mux" attribute to indicate that RTP and RTCP multiplexing is desired and **MUST** contain "a=candidate:" lines for both RTP and RTCP along with an "a=rtcp:" line indicating a fallback port for RTCP in the case that the answerer does not support RTP and RTCP multiplexing. This **MUST** be done for each media where RTP and RTCP multiplexing is desired. However, if the offerer indicates in the offer that it is not able to send and receive RTCP on a separate port, the offerer **MUST NOT** include "a=candidate:" lines for RTCP and **MUST NOT** provide a fallback port for RTCP using the "a=rtcp:" line.

## 6. ICE Considerations

As defined in [RFC8445], if an entity is aware that the remote peer supports, and is willing to use, RTP/RTCP multiplexing, the entity will only provide RTP candidates (component ID 1). However, only providing RTP candidates does not, as such, imply exclusive support of RTP/RTCP multiplexing. RTCP candidates also would not be provided in cases where RTCP is not supported at all. Therefore, additional information is needed in order to indicate support of exclusive RTP/RTCP multiplexing. This document defines such a mechanism using the SDP 'rtcp-mux-only' attribute.

## 7. Security Considerations

This document does not introduce new security considerations beyond those specified in [RFC3605] and [RFC5761].

## 8. IANA Considerations

This document updates the "Session Description Protocol Parameters" registry as specified in Section 8.2.4 of [RFC4566]. Specifically, it adds the SDP 'rtcp-mux-only' attribute to the table for SDP media-level attributes.

Attribute name: rtcp-mux-only

Type of attribute: media-level

Subject to charset: no

Purpose: Indicate exclusive support of RTP/RTCP multiplexing

Appropriate Values: N/A

Contact name: Christer Holmberg (christer.holmberg@ericsson.com)

Mux Category: IDENTICAL

## 9. References

### 9.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC3264] Rosenberg, J. and H. Schulzrinne, "An Offer/Answer Model with Session Description Protocol (SDP)", RFC 3264, DOI 10.17487/RFC3264, June 2002, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3264>>.
- [RFC4566] Handley, M., Jacobson, V., and C. Perkins, "SDP: Session Description Protocol", RFC 4566, DOI 10.17487/RFC4566, July 2006, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4566>>.
- [RFC5761] Perkins, C. and M. Westerlund, "Multiplexing RTP Data and Control Packets on a Single Port", RFC 5761, DOI 10.17487/RFC5761, April 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5761>>.
- [RFC8035] Holmberg, C., "Session Description Protocol (SDP) Offer/Answer Clarifications for RTP/RTCP Multiplexing", RFC 8035, DOI 10.17487/RFC8035, November 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8035>>.



- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.
- [RFC8445] Keranen, A., Holmberg, C., and J. Rosenberg, "Interactive Connectivity Establishment (ICE): A Protocol for Network Address Translator (NAT) Traversal", RFC 8445, DOI 10.17487/RFC8445, July 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8445>>.
- [RFC8843] Holmberg, C., Alvestrand, H., and C. Jennings, "Negotiating Media Multiplexing Using the Session Description Protocol (SDP)", RFC 8843, DOI 10.17487/RFC8843, January 2021, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8843>>.
- [RFC8859] Nandakumar, S., "A Framework for Session Description Protocol (SDP) Attributes When Multiplexing", RFC 8859, DOI 10.17487/RFC8859, January 2021, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8859>>.

## 9.2. Informative References

- [RFC3605] Huitema, C., "Real Time Control Protocol (RTCP) attribute in Session Description Protocol (SDP)", RFC 3605, DOI 10.17487/RFC3605, October 2003, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3605>>.
- [RFC5576] Lennox, J., Ott, J., and T. Schierl, "Source-Specific Media Attributes in the Session Description Protocol (SDP)", RFC 5576, DOI 10.17487/RFC5576, June 2009, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5576>>.
- [WebRTC] Jennings, C., Boström, H., and J-I. Bruaroey, "WebRTC 1.0: Real-time Communication Between Browsers", W3C Proposed Recommendation, <<https://www.w3.org/TR/webrtc/>>.

## Acknowledgements

Thanks to Roman Shpount, Paul Kyzivat, Ari Keränen, Bo Burman, Tomas Frankkila, and Martin Thomson for their comments and input on the document. Thanks to Francis Dupont for the GENART review.

## Author's Address

### Christer Holmberg

Ericsson

Hirsalantie 11

FI-02420 Jorvas

Finland

Email: [christer.holmberg@ericsson.com](mailto:christer.holmberg@ericsson.com)